UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,636	04/13/2006	Chang-Yeop Hwang	97176	4649
²⁴⁶²⁸ Husch Blackwe	7590 11/18/200 ll Sanders, LLP	EXAMINER		
Husch Blackwell Sanders LLP Welsh & Katz 120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA			AMELUNXEN, BARBARA J	
22ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60606		3694	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Madan at Albandan and	10/575,636	HWANG, CHANG-YEOP				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	B. Joan Amelunxen	3694				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e				
This application is abandoned in view of:		•				
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to but it does to but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to but it does to but it does to but it does to	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>				
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the						
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).						
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.						
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). 						
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of				
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.						
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review				
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:						
Examiner called Daniel M. Gurfinkel, Esq. (312-655-application to abandon.	-1500) on 11/13/09 who confirme	d that client is allowing the				
/B.J.A./ Examiner, Art Unit 3694 November 13, 2009	/Mary Cheung/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit	: 3694				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 0	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to				